Learning Outcomes

Our goal is to achieve knowledge and skills for your successful performance in a complex business environment requiring intellectual abilities to organize work, lead organizations in a challenging business climate, make and communicate sound decisions, and react successfully to unanticipated organizational events. This involves your continued development in such learning areas as communications abilities, problem-solving abilities, ethical reasoning skills, and a general understanding of the process that leaders and managers utilize to create and manage successful organizations. An important part of your learning is to study the body of knowledge that has been created in Organizational Behavior and to learn not only from the mistakes of others but from your own mistakes. Our operating environment will be global in scope as I want you to be prepared to interact with people and organizations from other cultures and to manage in circumstances where business practices and social conventions are different than the USA.

Our main learning objectives will include:

- Ethical behavior and corporate responsibilities in organizations and society.
- Leadership knowledge and development skills and understanding how management and leadership work together to ensure organizational goals.
- Management responsiveness to ethnic, cultural, and diversity issues.
- Group and individual dynamics in organizations.
- Organizational culture and how culture can be a strong competitive advantage.
- Organizational structure and design.
- How to create and manage high-performing teams.
- Human resource management and development.
- Employee motivation and engagement: theories, concepts and applications.
- Individual and group decision making processes in an integrative organizational environment.
- Understanding and utilizing power, influence, and politics in organizations.
- Development of skills, knowledge, tools as they influence the roles/techniques of management.
- Domestic and global perspectives on managing people to achieve organizational goals/objectives.
- Organizational change and transformation.
- Conflict management and resolution.
- The development of negotiation skills to learn how to influence stakeholders.
- And finally, to develop techniques that allow you to understand situations that require constructive confrontation skills with the goal of resolving organizational conflicts.
Introduction:

By the time you graduate, you can expect to experience continued restructuring, downsizing, re-engineering, entrepreneurial growth, intense competition, technological change and an expanding web of international linkages among firms. Hence, an important focus of this course is the application of principles and concepts relevant to managing and leading people in dynamic organizations. In addition, you will gain an understanding of the impact of behaviors and attitudes on others within organizational settings. This will allow you to both recognize group/organizational dynamics and understand how you are contributing to that dynamic. We will undertake several exercises to grow your “people skills” to help you recognize the effect that your behavior has upon others. The readings and especially the cases are critical to your learning. We will also learn concepts to help develop critical leadership skills to deal with ethical decision-making.

Course Design:

The topic of “Organizational Behavior” encompasses team performance, leadership, ethics, role structure, conflict, motivation and a host of other issues that drive the effectiveness and ultimately the performance of organizations. The course will present these issues through text readings, articles, case studies, lectures and group/team experiences.

Students are expected to be prepared for each lecture by completing the relevant assignment before class. Please see the attached course schedule for assignments and important dates.

This course carries the Ethics and Leadership flag. Ethics and Leadership courses are designed to equip you with skills that are necessary for making ethical decisions in your adult and professional lives. You should therefore expect a substantial portion of your grade to come from assignments involving ethical issues and the process of applying ethical reasoning to real-life situations.

In this course, leadership and ethics are covered through chapters in the textbook, additional readings, and cases. This class is designed to develop influential business leaders. There are several fundamental and broad pillars of leadership knowledge and understanding that have been incorporated into this course and include: communication and collaboration, responsibility and integrity, a worldview of business and society. In this course, you will enhance your knowledge and understanding of leadership and ethics and how management and organizational behavior helps leaders understand and manage their business. Through class discussion, informal study groups, and formal group projects (written and oral) you will enhance your communication and collaboration skills. You will learn how managers lead their organizations effectively and with integrity. Finally, the cases and examples involve international companies affording you a global perspective on organizational behavior and leadership. The material is reflected on all exams and in many of the assignments.

Required Resources:

1. Subarctic Survival Situation Participants Booklet – SM 15101. This is published by Human Synergistics International. Available only at the Coop
5. Course pack only from McCombs Copy Center (GSB 3.136). I will also place copies on reserve in the PCL library.

Classroom Professionalism Policy:

I expect that we will all:

1. Come to every class, on time and prepared.
2. Maintain a relaxed but professional environment in class.
3. Give each other our best effort at all times.
4. Place your name tag in front of you at all times.
5. I encourage you to visit me during office hours so we can get to know each other. Perhaps you want to talk about career options, future goals & aspirations, or have questions about projects or grades.
6. Canvas is required for this course. Look at Canvas announcements weekly for updates, important class notices, and grades. It is your responsibility to check Canvas regularly.
7. Students must respect the views and opinions of their colleagues. Disagreement and debate are encouraged. Intolerance or disrespect for the views of others is unacceptable.
8. Due to abuse by those who came before you, I regret to announce there is a NO LAPTOP policy in my classroom. Also, please turn off your cell phone ring tone.
9. If you have concerns, suggestions, or feedback about any aspect of this class, please voice them to me. You can always talk with me during office hours or by scheduled appointment.
10. I have no tolerance for acts of academic dishonesty. Such acts damage the reputation of the school and the degree and demean the honest efforts of the majority of students. The minimum penalty for an act of academic dishonesty will be a zero for that assignment or exam. I reserve the right to scan all submitted documents for plagiarism using such tools as Google, TurnItIn, SafeAssign and other tools.
11. If you are late to class please come in quietly and take a seat. If you must leave class early, please tell me before class starts. I would appreciate it greatly.

Exams:

Exams will include a selection of essay, short-answer and/or multiple-choice and will cover material discussed in class along with the associated readings. The final exam is cumulative with most of the emphasis on the last half of the course. A study guide will be provided for each exam.

As a rule, exams are designed to test your understanding and application of course material. Rarely will you see any definition type questions although if you have heard something in multiple lectures, this should be an indication as to its relative importance to the overall course. Often times, questions will consider if you can compare and contrast some material between our lectures, readings and cases. I may be looking for ways that you can evaluate a theory or concept discussed in relation to one or more cases that we have presented. In addition, I will be testing to see if you can apply what we have learned to both new and existing situations. In summary, I will be assessing to see your knowledge of the fundamentals of this class and your ability to apply this knowledge.

Exams will not be given early for any reason. An examination absence is considered excused if 1) you are out of town on a university sponsored event, 2) you or a member of your family is sick or hospitalized, or 3)
you are attending a funeral or 4) you are observing a religious holy day. I must approve all absences in advance and I must receive your written documentation within one week of the absence.

**Unexcused absences will result in a score of zero on an exam. Make-up exams will not be given except in emergencies and only within five days of the scheduled examination.** If you miss an exam for an illness or other emergency reason, you should notify me as soon as possible and present written documentation. I have final authority to determine if your absence is excused.

**CLASS PARTICIPATION**

Class participation is important and critical in this class. Active class participation will be one measure of your ability to make and communicate sound decisions. Unexcused absences will negatively affect your grade. Your on-going preparation for, participation in, and contribution to the various discussions and activities of the class will be assessed. In addition, attendance, quantity, and quality of contribution count. In short, my expectation is simple--You are expected to “come to every class prepared.” More specifically, what does it mean to “come to class prepared?” Coming to class prepared means that:

1. You will have read the material and have completed all of the assigned cases, exercises and readings;
2. You will be able to articulate the central thesis and the arguments that supported it (them);
3. You will have already mentally critiqued each reading;
4. Be able to see how readings relate to and combine with each other.
5. You will be able to provide your answers (and support for those answers) to the questions discussed in class.
6. You will place your name tag in front of you for each class

In short, I expect that you will come to class prepared and ready to **actively participate.** Regarding in-class discussions, some of the characteristics of effective class participation are as follows:

1. Do your comments show evidence of a thorough reading and analysis of the materials?
2. Are your points relevant to the discussion in terms of increasing everyone's understanding, or are they merely a regurgitation of the readings?
3. Do your comments take into consideration the ideas offered by others earlier in the class, or are the points isolated and disjointed? (The best class contributions following the lead-off tend to be those which reflect not only excellent preparation, but also good listening, and interpretative and integrative skills as well.)
4. Do you show a willingness to test new ideas or are all comments cautious and "safe"?
5. Do you interact with class members by asking questions or challenging conclusions?

Many people are intimidated by the “obligation” of speaking up in class. Don’t be. Your anxiety will be reduced only through practice. Here’s the secret to cutting your stress level: **BE PREPARED.** If you have familiarized yourself with the case and readings, you will succeed if you speak up. Please keep in mind that the only measurable output of your preparation is your class contribution – but airtime is a scarce resource, so please use it wisely. Comments based solely on “gut reaction” generally do not add much value. Participation that does not add any value is treated the same as not participating, unless it becomes a pattern. Frequent non-quality participation is not rewarded.

Students who find it difficult to speak in class should see me. We can schedule participation in discussions in advance so that you will know what points will be raised and can prepare your comments in advance.
One-Minute Memos:
As part of your class participation, you will be asked to complete a 1-minute memo in every class. The purpose of these 1-minute memos is to establish a direct line of communication from you to me. To encourage frankness and freedom of expression, these memos are marked PASS/FAIL. PASS means simply that you handed in something (even if you don’t have a question/comment); FAIL means you handed in nothing. Thus, your grade is not affected by what you communicate to me on these memos. However, this communication is a required part of class participation and a failure to turn in these memos (due to absence or other reasons) will have a negative impact on your class preparation grade.

CASE STUDY HOMEWORK (INDIVIDUAL PREPARATION)

The case method is one of the most effective means of management education. It is widely used in schools of business throughout the world, and this use is predicated upon the belief that tackling real business problems is the best way to develop practitioners. Real problems are messy, complex, and very interesting.

Unlike other pedagogical techniques, many of which make you the recipient of large amounts of information but do not require its use, the case method requires you to be an active participant in the closest thing to the real situation. It is a way of gaining a great deal of experience without spending a lot of time. It is also a way to learn a great deal about how certain businesses operate, and how managers manage. There are few programmable, textbook solutions to the kinds of problems faced by real general managers. When a problem becomes programmable, the general manager gives it to someone else to solve on a repeated basis using the guidelines he or she has set down. Thus the case situations that you will face will require the use of analytical tools and the application of your personal judgment.

There is only one secret to good case teaching and that is good preparation on the part of the participants. Since the course has been designed to “build” as it progresses, regular class attendance and active participation are essential. The instructor’s role in the class discussion is to help you develop your ideas through questioning. The instructor’s primary role is to manage the class process and to insure that the class achieves an understanding of the case situation. There is no single correct solution to any of these problems. There are, however, a lot of wrong solutions. Therefore, my role will be to point out the faulty logic and assumptions and steer us toward identifying several possible scenarios that would deal effectively with the problems presented in the case. (This section is based on a note that was prepared by Dan. R.E. Thomas. It is intended solely as an aid to class preparation.)

Your homework assignment prior to class is to write a single-spaced paper on each case by answering the bolded questions in the Case Study Questions listed at the end of the syllabus. Answer each question separately. The required format is to write out each question and provide your response for each question. Your preparation will be rewarded: your written response will be graded on a scale. Evidence that you reasonably attempted all parts of the case will earn full points. An incomplete attempt is evidence of less preparation and will receive a maximum of half points. Responses not turned in will receive 0 points. The emphasis here is on effort and not result. Working toward the “correct” answer is not the point; working to understand the case and the underlying issues is what it’s all about.

To receive credit, you must attend class during your scheduled class section time the day that the case is being discussed in class, upload your paper to Canvas prior to class, and turn in a hard copy during class otherwise your assignment is considered late. Late case papers are not accepted.
**Two strong words of caution.** Using the Internet to search for answers to the case questions is not allowed. Harvard Business School Cases are very popular and chances are that if you look diligently, you will find a paper that was written about the questions asked about each case. The objective is for you to come up with your own answers to the questions and not rely on someone else for doing your homework for you. The sanctions for plagiarism are severe. **Also,** if you cannot attend class, do not provide your write-up to another classmate to bring to class on your behalf. This is not acceptable and considered academic dishonesty. If you have a valid excuse, please email me in advance with your paper and I will give you credit.

**CASE STUDY HOMEWORK (GROUP PREPARATION)**

You are required to pick one case study to prepare as a group presentation. Since you are presenting the case, you do not turn in an individual case paper. Your group members will receive credit for the case paper as long as one member from your group emails me your presentation prior to the start of class.

You will work in your group to prepare the case by answering **all of the questions** for that case in the back of the syllabus (both required and optional questions). In addition, the class audience may ask you additional questions about the case. Presentations should be approximately 15-20 minutes in length. Since everyone will have read the case, you should not provide any history on the case. Focus your attention on answering the questions. **An excellent presentation will go above and beyond the listed questions.** As you read the case, think about what other questions are critical to solving the problem or enhance the opportunity for success. **Simply responding to the listed questions will only achieve an average grade.** I want you to really think about your case!

Each member of the group will be required to participate in both the preparation and the presentation. Your grade will be determined by the average of two factors: class audience grade and instructor grade. Only one group may present a case. I will have a signup sheet so your group can sign up to present a case. The only case not available for group presentation is the AES Corp case study.

If you get nervous presenting in front of a group of people, let me share a secret used by performing artists. Eat a banana 15 minutes before you present. The potassium will have a calming effect on your nerves.

**A strong word of caution.** Using the Internet to search for answers to the case questions is not allowed. If you use the Internet to search for answers, this will be considered academic dishonesty. You may use the Internet to research the company or the industry but not for answers to the case questions.

**GROUP PROJECT**

I will need an e-mail from your team telling me the team members in your group and a paragraph describing the company and problem you intend to research. Each team will conduct a group consulting project for this course. The project requires you to examine a **current** people-related problem (as opposed to a process-related problem) in a real organization. **A problem that has already been resolved or is historical in nature is not acceptable.** The purpose of the project is to give your team an opportunity to apply what has been learned in the course to organizational problems in an organization of the team’s choice. Your team should identify a public, private, or not-for-profit organization (or part of one) to study. You may select an organization **(except for student organizations)** in which one or more of the team members has worked or has been a member. I advise you to be selective in choosing
an issue or problem within an organization, as a lack of depth in the issue itself will not be an acceptable excuse for lack of depth in the analysis. You will want to ensure that you conduct primary research and that you document this primary research in your report. Gathering first-person information is important in any project. In addition, you need to come up with at least one highly creative, out-of-the-box solution. It should not be based on the text or other solutions that are commonly known or discussed in class. This solution should reflect your creative, innovative and “wildly” imaginative thinking.

Your group is **required** to gather first-hand (primary) information from people in the organization through direct contact with these people. To obtain a balanced unbiased view, you should speak with more than one person to fully understand the scope of the problem. This information must be documented and verifiable. In person meetings are best although you can have conference calls with the individuals as well as follow up questions via e-mail. However, e-mail should not be your primary form of communication. You may supplement this information with secondary data. Secondary data will only be accepted if it comes from a reliable source. For purposes of this project, data is considered reliable if (1) it comes from the web site of the organization you are studying or (2) if the data comes from any book, magazine, database or journal that is available at any UT library either in paper or electronic format (www.lib.utexas.edu). You should identify a current problem to analyze rather than historical problem. You should focus your analysis by applying the concepts from the course.

At some point, you may find one member of your team who is not pulling his/her own weight. You are urged not to let problems develop to the point where they become serious. Beware of excuses such as “too busy” or “work-health-social problems.” Be reasonable, but don’t let the problem continue to grow. Try to solve the problem yourselves. If you can’t, bring it to me but don’t wait until the end of the project. If I am convinced that someone has not carried his/her fair share – for any reason- I will reduce that person’s grade as low as a zero if I believe it is warranted. I suggest that you openly communicate with the individual. During your career, you will find people who will take advantage of the other group members. Learn to deal with this situation now.

Your group is required to submit a **Group Project Interim Report** via Canvas. Once you submit your interim report, you are locked into completing the project with this specific company. Up until the interim report, you may change the company and/or the nature of the problem.

1. Each group is required to submit an interim report. The report must be less than two single space pages and typed.
2. This report should provide information regarding the status of the group project as well as what requirements are still needed to complete the project. The report must include the following seven headings and items:
   a. Names of group members
   b. Name of organization being studied
   c. Specific nature of the organizational behavior problem being studied
   d. Status of primary research including people contacted and brief summary of what has been learned from primary research
   e. Preliminary recommended and creative solution
   f. What still needs to be completed by your team
   g. Any obstacles that are hindering your ability to complete the project

Your final written project should contain a maximum of 8 double-spaced pages (12 point font, 1 inch margins). The limit does not include appendices, which you are free to use to provide charts, figures, primary research findings, or other material not necessary in the main body of your analysis. If you utilize any published works, please add the appropriate references and properly formatted citations.
MLA (Modern Language Association) citation styles are acceptable. The lack of citations is the most common form of plagiarism. Appendices which are not directly reference in the main text will not be read. Both a bound printed copy and electronic copy are required by the deadline. The electronic copy must be uploaded to the assignment section on Canvas. Only one person in each group needs to submit the paper. You are also required to email me your final presentation.

Your written report will be graded based on the following criteria. The written report is worth 150 points and the grading rubric below has the point breakdown for each section.

1. Use and understanding of course concepts (20 points)
   a. Did the project utilize concepts, terminology, and theories that were discussed in the textbook, class discussions, and class exercises?
   b. Are the concepts, terminology and theories properly used?

2. Understanding of the problem(s) (20 points)
   a. Does the group truly understand the problem?
   b. Is the problem an organizational behavior (people) problem or a process problem? Sometimes a problem is caused by a process that is in place rather than a real people problem. If the process were changed, the problem would resolve.
   c. Is the cause and effect of the problem related?
   d. Is the problem reflective of what was learned/determined via primary research?

3. Standard solution (20 points)
   a. Provide your overall best recommendation first. This recommendation should have a good balance between solving the problem, solving the problem quickly, and at a “reasonable” cost.
   b. In addition to the preferred solution, the group should also provide two alternatives that may not meet all the criteria listed above.
   c. If I worked at the company, would I view the recommended solution as feasible and within reason to implement?
   d. The solutions should demonstrate that the group understands the benefits and the risks of the recommended standard solutions presented.

4. Creative solution (20 points)
   a. The creative solution should be highly creative yet believable.
   b. Solution needs to be a positive solution, not negative (fire everyone, bankruptcy, etc.)
   c. There are no barriers to the creative solution in regards to cost, time or actual feasibility.
   d. Creative solution must have high certainty of solving the problem.

5. Primary research (20 points)
   a. Demonstration and proof of primary research is required including names of people contacted and summaries of discussions in the appendix.
   b. If surveys were completed, survey results must be presented in the appendix.
   c. Understanding what you learned from your sources of primary research should be demonstrated.
   d. If one of your group members works for the firm, or a family/friend works at the firm, additional contacts are required to fulfill this requirement.

6. Writing-style-grammar (20 points)
   a. Is the report written properly with good grammar, punctuation, sentence structure and spelling.
   b. Does the report have a natural flow or is it fragmented and difficult to follow.
   c. Are secondary sources of information cited and referenced? Please use the Modern Language Association (MLA) style for citations.
d. Does the report read like it was written by 5 different people or is the tone and voice consistent throughout the document?

7. **Overall analysis (30 points)**
   a. Was the overall report well thought through, designed, and implemented professionally?
   b. Does the report provide a brief synopsis of the company and the problem?
   c. After reading the entire report, is it clear and concise and the solutions clearly address the problem?
   d. Is the document balanced between research, understanding the problem, and providing solutions?
   e. Does the report ramble on or is it direct and to the point.
   f. If the report were submitted to the company, would it be viewed with credibility?

**Group Project Oral Presentations.** Each member in your group must participate in the oral presentation. Please dress professionally and email me your final presentation. *Don’t* read slides to the audience and *don’t* have wordy slides that the audience tries to read while you verbalize something different. *Do* use key words or phrases and *do* use lots of graphics. Make it interesting and professional. **Rehearse!**

**Important considerations:**

1. Please ensure that you speak loud and clear and that you rehearse your presentation.
2. Make sure you introduce your team members and your organization.
3. Depending on the number of groups in the class, your group will have 10-12 minutes to deliver your final presentation plus a few minutes for Q&A. Use your time wisely! Points will be deducted if your presentation goes over the allotted time and if your overtime becomes excessive, I will have to stop your presentation in order to accommodate the other groups presenting.
4. I prefer that you **not use any note cards** during your presentation. Remember, you are only speaking for a few minutes. If you feel you must use a crutch, I will allow you one 4x6 note card per person during your presentation.
5. I will provide a laptop so all you will need is your presentation on a memory stick. If you prefer to use your own equipment – you are required to test it prior to the presentation. Each semester, someone brings equipment that has not been tested and they run into problems. This is the quickest way to lose points.
6. **Please ensure that you speak loud and clear and that you rehearse your presentation.** Please look professional, act professionally, and present professionally.

**Grading of Group Project**

Your group project is worth 230 points and will be graded as follows.

- Group project interim report is worth 30 points
- Oral Presentation is worth 50 points
  - 50% of your oral presentation grade will be determined by the average grade you receive from your classmates
  - 50% of your oral presentation grade will be determined by the instructor.
- Written report is worth 150 points.
You must submit both a written, bound copy of your report and an electronic copy of your report. Electronic copies must be uploaded to the proper assignment section on Canvas. Only one person in the group needs to submit the electronic version. Both must be received by the due date otherwise it is considered a late submission.

- Confidential Group Project Evaluation Form
  - Each team member is required to submit a copy of the Confidential Group Project Evaluation Form by midnight of the date specified in the course schedule. I will provide a URL towards the end of the semester for you to complete this form online. Failure to submit the form will result in 25 points being deducted from your grade.
    - **Note:** Any group member receiving an average of less than 90% of the group average on the evaluation will receive the ratio of their group average compared to the combined group average applied to each project grade. For example, a group receives 135 out of 150 points on the written project report. The team evaluation at the end of the semester shows a group evaluation average of 90%, based on all group members. One member of the team received a 60% average on their evaluation. Since their score is below the 90% cutoff score (.9 X 90= 81%), they will receive 60/90 (or 67%) of the score received by the group on the project. This will yield a score of 90 points on the group project (.67 X 135 points earned by the team) for that individual student (other team members receive 135 points each).

### CROSS-CULTURAL INTERVIEW

This assignment involves a written analysis of an in-person interview with someone from a cultural group different from your own about their experience working for an organization. The purpose of this assignment is to increase your awareness and understanding of differences in people. Typical cultural differences include ethnicity, age, political, religious and sexual orientation. Generally, the most learning occurs when the person is as different from you as possible. I would prefer that you meet with someone you do not currently have a personal relationship with. This also gives you an opportunity to meet someone new! You should address the following questions at a minimum:

- Who you met with and why you consider the person different.
- How would you show respect when doing business?
- What would you consider to be very rude business behavior?
- What would you consider unethical in conducting business?
- What differences do you perceive between yourself and members of other cultural groups in the workplace?
- What aspects of your culture or identity do you suppress at work and why?
- What aspects of your culture or identity can you use in your work with others?

Your written analysis should include a summary of the person’s responses, as well as reflections about your own learning. The emphasis should be on what you learned from the interview. The paper should be no longer than two single-spaced pages. Grading will be based on how well you link any relevant theories in the course to what your interviewee told you and your critical reflection on your own learning. You are required to turn in a hard copy during class and upload your paper to Canvas prior to the start of class in order for your submission to not be late. Late papers will not be accepted.
TOP FIVE TAKE-AWAYS

This assignment provides a final opportunity for you to synthesize and share your learning with the class. Reflect on your learning in this class and write up a list of your “Top Five Take-Aways” from the course. Explain why you chose each concept, how you have utilized it in your own life, and how you think it will help you in your future role as a leader. There is no set length of the paper. That depends on your learning. Late submissions will not be accepted. Single-spacing, please.

Obviously you will not be graded on whether your choice of a learning point is valid or not. Your learning is your learning. Your grade will be based on how well you explain that concept’s application to your life.

Your presentation in class will be informal. Each member of the class will have about a minute or so to share a point or two about your most important learning from the course. It is simply an opportunity to reflect on your learning with your peers. Sometimes hearing what is important to other people can also contribute to your own learning.

PERSONALITY TEST & SELF-ASSESSMENT

Your assignment is to take a Myers-Briggs Personality test. The test is free and will place you in one of sixteen personality types. The test will take no more than 15 minutes to complete and will provide you with a sense of your personality and what works and doesn’t work for you. The test is only as accurate as your honest answer to each question. Ideally, if you take the test more than once, you should receive a consistent personality type. Your homework assignment consists of the following:

1. Complete the personality test online at http://similarminds.com/myers-briggs-jung.html. You will see some advertisements when you go to this page. Simply answer the first question (what is your gender) then it will walk you through the rest of the questions. Make sure you record what personality type you are based on the test. This will be a four-letter acronym.

2. Once you know your personality type, go to http://www.personalitypage.com/high-level.html and you will see a high level description of each of the 16 personality types. Click on your personality type and read the detailed description.

3. Write up your report and upload to Canvas, by the beginning of class on the due date, a one-page, single-spaced summary that includes the following information:
   a. Name, UT EID, Date and “Personality Test” at the top of the paper.
   b. Provide the personality type that you were given by the test.
   c. Write what you learned about yourself.
   d. Write what you agree or disagree with based upon the detailed description of your personality type.
   e. Your write-up should be one-page, single space, one-inch margins and 12 point font. Please put some thought and effort into your paper! I’m looking for your best effort!
   f. Upload your paper to the appropriate section on Canvas by the start of class and bring a hard copy to class. A paper is considered late if both conditions are not met. Late papers will not be accepted.
THE JACK ABRAMOFF STORY

The Abramoff story will serve as our introduction to business and organizational ethics. The first step towards completing this assignment is to watch a 25 minute video recorded at UT at http://tiny.cc/JackA titled “In it to win: The Jack Abramoff story.” Once you have completed watching the video, you are to write a maximum two-page single space paper answering the following questions. Please answer each question separately, upload it to Canvas and bring a hard copy to class. In addition, (not counted as part of the two-page essay) is to write about anything you like that is unethical. This additional part is optional and will be counted as extra-credit for your paper. Ideally, you will focus on an issue in business. Don’t just write about the problem but develop some ideas to fix the problem.

1. What are the key points that this Abramoff documentary raises?
2. What did Abramoff do that was unethical, even if legal? Why were these actions unethical?
3. The documentary focuses on Abramoff’s role as a lobbyist within a system that is, he argues, more corrupt than ever. To what degree do individuals have a responsibility to act ethically within a morally corrupt system?
4. The documentary raises the point that white-collar crime is generally considered far less problematic than hard crime. Do you agree? Why or why not? What are the long-term consequences of white-collar crimes for individuals, families, society?
5. Do you agree with the UT officials who decided to bring Abramoff to campus in order to speak to students and create this film? What ethical issues were involved in their decision-making process?
6. Do you think Abramoff’s success as a lobbyist supports the idea that politicians are corrupt or easily corruptible? Should we place blame on Abramoff and the politicians or the system in which they operate? If the system is fundamentally flawed, is it fair for individual lobbyists or politicians to pay the price?
7. In the film, Abramoff notes that he thought he was the ‘moral lobbyist’. Why does he think so and do you agree with him?
8. Abramoff still owes the government $44 million in restitution. Some argue that he is only speaking out against corruption to get past this debt and regain his prior fame and fortune. Others believe his claim that he is in a unique position to expose the corruption of the system. Do you believe Abramoff genuinely regrets his prior actions and is now working hard at improving how government operates? Or do you think he is just ‘out for himself’? Does it matter whether he’s sincere if his actions lead to important reforms?
9. Do you think you could survive in today’s world if you promised yourself that you would always act honorably? Do you think such a life is possible?

THE MONK AND THE RIDDLE

During the semester, you are to read the book The Monk and the Riddle. The lessons learned from this book will help you better understand the strengths and weaknesses of organizations with management and leadership issues. The book will also help you to understand how great leadership and great management work together to bring about transformational changes in entrepreneurial and established organizations. I strongly suggest that you begin reading early to ensure that you complete it. Based on the concepts presented in this book, you are to write a maximum two-page, single spaced report. I
require both a hard copy and electronic copy submitted on Canvas of your report. Both must be received by the due date otherwise it is considered a late submission.

**Theme of your paper.** I want you to write about Leadership versus Management. Specifically, what did Randy learn about leadership from Bill Campbell during their time together at Claris? What leadership qualities did Randy then learn from Bill that ensured that the management team at GO stayed intact even though “the ship was sinking” at GO? Finally, relate all of this to the predicament that Lenny is in with funerals.com. Why does Randy believe that Lenny is headed towards failure and what does Randy believe it will take for Lenny to succeed?

**Late Submissions**

Numerous problems are lurking out there to help you miss assignment deadlines. Computer failures, family crises, work obligations, and misreading the syllabus will all send you scrambling to complete papers on time. Plan ahead and be ready to work around problems. Unless otherwise noted, all papers, cases and reports for this class are due by the beginning of class on the date and time specified in the course schedule for your specific class section. In order for a submission to be accepted, you are required to turn in both a hard copy and an online copy. Online submissions should be to the appropriate section on Canvas and can only be in a .doc, .docx, .rtf, or pdf format. If both the hard copy and online submission are not completed by the specified time, your submission is considered late. Late submissions are not accepted. If you are unable to attend class, do not give your paper to another student to submit on your behalf as this is considered academic dishonesty. If you have an excused absence, you should upload your paper and email me a copy.

**Grading:**

Grading will be determined by the following factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exam 1</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Paper</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Monk and the Riddle Paper</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Cultural Interview</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Five Take-Aways</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Case Presentation</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Abramoff Paper</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Oral Presentation</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Interim Report</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Participation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Papers (12)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality test &amp; self-assessment</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
<th>Low Range</th>
<th>High Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grading Questions or Appeals:

1. I am happy to discuss a paper or exam grade with you. Talking to me about your graded assignments is a great way to learn how to improve throughout the semester. If you wish to have a grade reconsidered, you must first submit to me a written statement outlining your reasons. This allows you to collect your thoughts and make your best case for a higher grade.
2. In order for the assignments to remain fresh in both of our minds, you must submit this appeal within 10 days of receiving the grade. The ten-day period will begin on the day the grade is posted on Canvas.
3. Regarding graded assignments, I will re-grade the entire work, and if I was overly generous I will deduct points. Thus, your grade can go up or down on a re-grade.
4. Do not wait until the end of the semester, once you realize you may need additional points, to take this action. It will be too late!

McCombs Classroom Professionalism Policy

Communication:
I encourage every student to contact me whenever there is a question or concern. Sooner is better than later and dealing with a potential problem in advance is far better than making an excuse after the fact. I expect that emergencies will arise for some members of the class. If an emergency arises that impacts your class performance or contribution, please contact me.

Absences:
To perform as well as possible, you are advised to attend every class. If that is not possible, you may get lecture notes from class members. If, after doing that, you still have questions about the information presented on a given day, please contact me.

Students with Disabilities
Students with disabilities may request appropriate academic accommodations from the Division of
Religious Holy Days
By UT Austin policy, you must notify me of your pending absence at least fourteen days prior to the date of observance of a religious holy day. If you must miss a class, an examination, a work assignment, or a project in order to observe a religious holy day, you will be given an opportunity to complete the missed work within a reasonable time after the absence.

Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty
The McCombs School of Business has no tolerance for acts of scholastic dishonesty. The responsibilities of both students and faculty with regard to scholastic dishonesty are described in detail in the BBA Program’s Statement on Scholastic Dishonesty at http://www.mccombs.utexas.edu/BBA/Code-of-Ethics.aspx. By teaching this course, I have agreed to observe all faculty responsibilities described in that document. By enrolling in this class, you have agreed to observe all student responsibilities described in that document. If the application of the Statement on Scholastic Dishonesty to this class or its assignments is unclear in any way, it is your responsibility to ask me for clarification. Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since dishonesty harms the individual, all students, the integrity of the University, and the value of our academic brand, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. You should refer to the Student Judicial Services website at http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/ to access the official University policies and procedures on scholastic dishonesty as well as further elaboration on what constitutes scholastic dishonesty.

Campus Safety
Please note the following recommendations regarding emergency evacuation from the Office of Campus Safety and Security, 512-471-5767, http://www.utexas.edu/safety:

- Occupants of buildings on The University of Texas at Austin campus are required to evacuate buildings when a fire alarm is activated. Alarm activation or announcement requires exiting and assembling outside.
- Familiarize yourself with all exit doors of each classroom and building you may occupy. Remember that the nearest exit door may not be the one you used when entering the building.
- Students requiring assistance in evacuation should inform the instructor in writing during the first week of class.
- In the event of an evacuation, follow the instruction of faculty or class instructors.
- Do not re-enter a building unless given instructions by the following: Austin Fire Department, The University of Texas at Austin Police Department, or Fire Prevention Services office.
- Behavior Concerns Advice Line (BCAL): 512-232-5050
- Further information regarding emergency evacuation routes and emergency procedures can be found at: http://www.utexas.edu/emergency.

Honor Code Purpose
Academic honor, trust and integrity are fundamental to The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business community. They contribute directly to the quality of your education and reach far beyond the campus to your overall standing within the business community. The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business Honor System promotes academic honor, trust and integrity.
throughout the Graduate School of Business. The Honor System relies upon The University of Texas Student Standards of Conduct (Chapter 11 of the Institutional Rules on Student Service and Activities) for enforcement, but promotes ideals that are higher than merely enforceable standards. Every student is responsible for understanding and abiding by the provisions of the Honor System and the University of Texas Student Standards of Conduct. The University expects all students to obey the law, show respect for other members of the university community, perform contractual obligations, maintain absolute integrity and the highest standard of individual honor in scholastic work, and observe the highest standards of conduct. Ignorance of the Honor System or The University of Texas Student Standards of Conduct is not an acceptable excuse for violations under any circumstances.

The effectiveness of the Honor System results solely from the wholehearted and uncompromising support of each member of the Graduate School of Business community. Each member must abide by the Honor System and must be intolerant of any violations. The system is only as effective as you make it.

**Expectations Under the Honor System**

**Standards**

If a student is uncertain about the standards of conduct in a particular setting, he or she should ask the relevant faculty member for clarification to ensure his or her conduct falls within the expected scope of honor, trust and integrity as promoted by the Honor System. This applies to all tests, papers and group and individual work. Questions about appropriate behavior during the job search should be addressed to a professional member of the Career Services Office. Below are some of the specific examples of violations of the Honor System.

**Lying**

Lying is any deliberate attempt to deceive another by stating an untruth, or by any direct form of communication to include the telling of a partial truth. Lying includes the use or omission of any information with the intent to deceive or mislead. Examples of lying include, but are not limited to, providing a false excuse for why a test was missed or presenting false information to a recruiter.

**Stealing**

Stealing is wrongfully taking, obtaining, withholding, defacing or destroying any person's money, personal property, article or service, under any circumstances. Examples of stealing include, but are not limited to, removing course material from the library or hiding it from others, removing material from another person's mail folder, securing for one's self unattended items such as calculators, books, book bags or other personal property. Another form of stealing is the duplication of copyrighted material beyond the reasonable bounds of "fair use." Defacing (e.g., "marking up" or highlighting) library books is also considered stealing, because, through a willful act, the value of another's property is decreased. (See the appendix for a detailed explanation of "fair use.")

**Cheating**

Cheating is wrongfully and unfairly acting out of self-interest for personal gain by seeking or accepting an unauthorized advantage over one's peers. Examples include, but are not limited to, obtaining questions
or answers to tests or quizzes, and getting assistance on case write-ups or other projects beyond what is authorized by the assigning instructor. It is also cheating to accept the benefit(s) of another person's theft(s) even if not actively sought. For instance, if one continues to be attentive to an overhead conversation about a test or case write-up even if initial exposure to such information was accidental and beyond the control of the student in question, one is also cheating. If a student overhears a conversation or any information that any faculty member might reasonably wish to withhold from the student, the student should inform the faculty member(s) of the information and circumstance under which it was overheard.

**Actions Required for Responding to Suspected and Known Violations**

As stated, everyone must abide by the Honor System and be intolerant of violations. If you suspect a violation has occurred, you should first speak to the suspected violator in an attempt to determine if an infraction has taken place. If, after doing so, you still believe that a violation has occurred, you must tell the suspected violator that he or she must report himself or herself to the course professor or Associate Dean of the Graduate School of Business. If the individual fails to report himself or herself within 48 hours, it then becomes your obligation to report the infraction to the course professor or the Associate Dean of the Graduate School of Business. Remember that although you are not required by regulation to take any action, our Honor System is only as effective as you make it. If you remain silent when you suspect or know of a violation, you are approving of such dishonorable conduct as the community standard. You are thereby precipitating a repetition of such violations.

**The Honor Pledge**

The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business requires each enrolled student to adopt the Honor System. The Honor Pledge best describes the conduct promoted by the Honor System. It is as follows:

"I affirm that I belong to the honorable community of The University of Texas at Austin Graduate School of Business. I will not lie, cheat or steal, nor will I tolerate those who do."

"I pledge my full support to the Honor System. I agree to be bound at all times by the Honor System and understand that any violation may result in my dismissal from the Graduate School of Business."

**The Standard of Academic Integrity**

A fundamental principle for any educational institution, academic integrity is highly valued and seriously regarded at The University of Texas at Austin, as emphasized in the standards of conduct. More specifically, you and other students are expected to "maintain absolute integrity and a high standard of individual honor in scholastic work" undertaken at the University (Sec. 11-801, Institutional Rules on Student Services and Activities). This is a very basic expectation that is further reinforced by the University's Honor Code. At a minimum, you should complete any assignments, exams, and other scholastic endeavors with the utmost honesty, which requires you to:

- acknowledge the contributions of other sources to your scholastic efforts;
- complete your assignments independently unless expressly authorized to seek or obtain assistance in preparing them;
• follow instructions for assignments and exams, and observe the standards of your academic discipline; and
• avoid engaging in any form of academic dishonesty on behalf of yourself or another student.

For the official policies on academic integrity and scholastic dishonesty, please refer to Chapter 11 of the Institutional Rules on Student Services and Activities.

What is Scholastic Dishonesty? In promoting a high standard of academic integrity, the University broadly defines scholastic dishonesty—basically, all conduct that violates this standard, including any act designed to give an unfair or undeserved academic advantage, such as:

• Cheating
• Plagiarism
• Unauthorized Collaboration
• Collusion
• Falsifying Academic Records
• Misrepresenting Facts (e.g., providing false information to postpone an exam, obtain an extended deadline for an assignment, or even gain an unearned financial benefit)
• Any other acts (or attempted acts) that violate the basic standard of academic integrity (e.g., multiple submissions—submitting essentially the same written assignment for two courses without authorization to do so)

Several types of scholastic dishonesty—unauthorized collaboration, plagiarism, and multiple submissions—are discussed in more detail on this Web site to correct common misperceptions about these particular offenses and suggest ways to avoid committing them.

For the University's official definition of scholastic dishonesty, see Section 11-802, Institutional Rules on Student Services and Activities.
## Course Schedule (any changes will be announced in class and on Canvas)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic/Reading</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 14</td>
<td>Course Introduction &amp; Syllabus Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 16</td>
<td>Bring to class any syllabus-related questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 1 &amp; 2 in ORGB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Behavior – who, what, why and when</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Challenges for Managers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 21</td>
<td>Chapters 1 &amp; 2 in ORGB (continued)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consolidated Products Case (read case on Canvas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 23</td>
<td>Organizational ethics and ethical decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The MBA Hacker Case (read case on Canvas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vanatin Case Study (read case on Canvas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 28</td>
<td>Jack Abramoff paper</td>
<td>Jack Abramoff paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 1 – Blind Spots (on Canvas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 30</td>
<td>Chapters 3 &amp; 4 in ORGB</td>
<td>Personality test &amp; self-assessment paper due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual Processes and Behavior – why we care about understanding the individual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 4</td>
<td>Subarctic Survival Situation</td>
<td>Complete Step 1 of Subarctic prior to class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction to teams and team effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 6</td>
<td>Designing High Performing Teams</td>
<td>e-mail information regarding group project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 9 in ORGB</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Army Crew Team – Harvard case study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 11</td>
<td>Introduction to decision-making</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflict on a Trading Floor Case study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Read article “Good Directors and Bad Behavior”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 13</td>
<td>Chapter 5 in ORGB</td>
<td>Cross-Cultural Interview paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation at work – concept, theories and application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 18</td>
<td>The application of teams and motivational theories: in the US and globally</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincoln Electric Case study</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincoln Electric (Opportunity in India) Case study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 20</td>
<td>The Monk &amp; The Riddle in-class leadership discussion</td>
<td>Monk &amp; The Riddle paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leadership and management in entrepreneurial and established organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 25</td>
<td>Effective decision making by groups and individuals</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 10 in ORGB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dave Armstrong (A) Case study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 27</td>
<td>Group Project Work Day</td>
<td>I will meet with each group to answer any questions and learn about your progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interim Group Project Report. Please e-mail report by midnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 4</td>
<td>Teams, influence, organizational structure, motivation beyond intrinsic rewards, and creative management</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 15 in ORGB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AES Corporation case study - note: case study is posted on Canvas not in course pack. This case is not available as a group presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 6</td>
<td>Exam 1</td>
<td>Exam 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 10-14</td>
<td>Spring Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 18</td>
<td>Leadership theories and application</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GE’s Two-Decade Transformation: Jack Welch’s Leadership case study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 12 in ORGB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 20</td>
<td>Mt. Everest Case Study</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High performing teams and managing in times of crisis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 25</td>
<td>Effective negotiation and conflict</td>
<td>Job Negotiation in-class exercise - Materials to be provided at start of class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 13 in ORGB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Six Habits of Merely Effective Negotiators article (Harvard Business Review). Article can be found on Canvas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 27</td>
<td>Cityside Financial Services Case</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The benefits and challenges of diversity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1</td>
<td>Royal Dutch Shell in Nigeria Case</td>
<td>Case write-up paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Citizenship and Responsibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 3</td>
<td>Chapter 16 in ORGB</td>
<td>Case write-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil &amp; Wasser Case Study - This case is not available as a group presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Culture and control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>Silvio Napoli at Schindler India Case</td>
<td>Case write-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 18 in ORGB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Change and Leadership across country borders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 10</td>
<td>Video: Enron: The Smartest Guys In The Room, Part I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 15</td>
<td>Video: Enron: The Smartest Guys In The Room, Part II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 17</td>
<td>Group Project Oral Presentations: Groups 1-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 22</td>
<td>Group Project Oral Presentations: Groups 5-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 24</td>
<td>Group Project Oral Presentations: Groups 8-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 29</td>
<td>Top Five Take-Aways Discussion</td>
<td>Top Five Take-Aways paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 1  |  Course Wrap-up  
|  The Meaning of Life Lecture  
|  Complete Online  
|  Confidential Group Project  
|  Evaluation Form by midnight  
|  All Group Project Reports due  

|  As of this time, the final exam has not been set by the Registrar. As soon as I become aware of our scheduled final examination date/time I will post this information on Canvas. Based upon a posted guide, it seems that the Final Exam will most likely be held on Monday, May 12, 2:00-5:00 pm  
|  Final Exam - Cumulative  

Case Study Questions:

Please read the directions for individual case submissions and group case presentations.

The Army Crew Team

1. Why does the Varsity team lose to the JV team? (Required)
2. What should Coach P. have done differently earlier in the season to resolve this problem? (Required)
3. At the end of the case, what action should Coach P. take on Tuesday? (Required)

Conflict on a Trading Floor

1. What are the stakes for the protagonist in “Conflict on a Trading Floor?” (Required)
2. What options are available? (Required)
3. Which would you choose? (Required)

Lincoln Electric

1. How would you describe Lincoln's approach to the organization and motivation of their employee? (Required)
2. What role do you think this approach has played in Lincoln's performance over the last 25 years? Have any other factors been more important? (Required)
3. What factors will be critical to Lincoln's continued success?
4. What recommendations would you make to Mr. Willis?
5. What is the applicability of Lincoln's approach to motivation to other companies and situations? (Required)

Lincoln Electric (Opportunity in India)

1. Put yourself in CEO John Stropki’s shoes. Should Lincoln Electric expand into India by investing in a major production facility there?
2. If you were to expand into India, would you enter through acquisition, or joint venture, or some other vehicle? Which factors would inform your decision among these entry mode choices? (Required)
3. In which countries is Lincoln Electric likely to be most successful or least successful? Why? How would this guide your own choice of where to place Lincoln Electric’s production facilities abroad? (Required)
4. When Lincoln goes to India and other countries, what factors should determine how much it adapts its core incentive pay-for-performance management practices to local labor market norms? Should Lincoln follow the adage “when in Rome, do as the Romans do,” or should it seek to always replicate the recipe behind its success in the home plant in Cleveland?

5. What are the key take-aways from this case?

Dave Armstrong

1. Which job should Dave Armstrong take and how did you reach this conclusion? (Required)

2. What key qualitative aspects did you focus on? (Required)

3. Relate the decision making process that Armstrong should undertake to the decisions that a corporation must make when facing a high degree of uncertainty. (Required)

4. Could you build a quantitative, data-driven model to help reach a conclusion?

AES Case Study – this case is posted on Canvas (not in course pack)

The position you argue for will need to be written from the perspective of you as a director on the Board of Directors trying to convince the other directors that your position should prevail. Please select only one of the three positions to write about and defend.

1) Status quo – You should argue for the founders to be left in place and any changes made will be left up to the founders. No changes will be dictated by the Board.

2) Keep founders, but changes need to be made – You should argue for the founders to be left in place but you should also argue that changes need to be made. It is not necessary for you to redesign the company here unless it is for the purpose of making your point about change; just present the reasons why additional changes are necessary.

3) Fire the founders, and changes need to be made – You should argue for the founders to be fired by the Board and be replaced by a new Chairman and a new CEO. You should also argue that changes need to be made. It is not necessary for you to redesign the company here unless it is for the purpose of making your point about change; just present the reasons why additional changes are necessary. Your argument should draw on the facts presented in the case study, along with the concepts you have learned in this course.

In addition to the above question, you are required to respond to the following question. From strictly a management position, what have been the key policies and procedures that allowed AES to be successful for 25 years?

GE’s Two-Decade Transformation

1. How difficult a challenge did Welch face in 1981? How effectively did he take charge?

2. What is Welch’s objective in the series of initiatives he launched in the late 1980’s and early 1990’s? What is he trying to achieve in the round of changes he put in motion in that period? Is there logic supporting the change process?

3. How does such a large, complex diversified conglomerate defy the critics and continue to grow so profitably? How has Welch’s various initiatives added value? (Required)

4. What is your evaluation of Welch’s approach to leading change? How important is he to GE’s success? Will it be easy to replace him? (Required)
Mount Everest – 1996
1. Why did this tragedy occur? What is the root cause of this disaster?
2. Are tragedies such as this simply inevitable in a place like Everest?
3. What personal characteristics does it take to reach the summit?
4. What is your evaluation of Scott Fischer and Rob Hall as leaders? Did they make some poor decisions? If so, why? (Required)
5. What are the lessons from this case for general managers in business enterprises? (Required)

Cityside Financial Services
1. What is the root cause of the problems that Cityside Financial Services are encountering? (Required)
2. What needs to change in each organization to address these problems? (Required)
3. Should organizations aspire to be culturally diverse and, if so, why? (Required)

Royal Dutch Shell in Nigeria
1. Why has Shell become the subject of criticism and controversy? (required)
2. During its time in Nigeria, what, if anything, should Shell have done differently? (required)
3. What is your appraisal of Shell’s stated business principle of noninvolvement in political matters? (required)
4. As Brian Andersen, what would you recommend to Shell’s Committee of Managing Directors regarding the death sentence for Saro-Wiwa and his co-defendants? What, if anything, would you do personally about this matter?
5. What advice would you give Shell’s leadership going forward?

Oil and Wasser
1. What do we know about these two companies? What about their organizational cultures, and the way they go about leadership development?
2. What factors (i.e., personal, organizational, cultural) might be involved in producing the tension between Michael Brighton and Dieter Wallach?
3. In your opinion, what can HR do to promote a “global mindset” in the combined organization? (Required)
4. What recommendations would you make concerning the requested leadership development plan? What about for HR and the combined organization in general? (Required)

Silvio Napoli at Schindler India
1. Was Silvio the right choice for general manager of Schindler’s India operations? Explain your reasoning. (Required)
2. As Luc Bonnard, how would you evaluate Silvio’s first seven months as general manager? What advice would you offer? (Required)
3. What advice would you give Silvio regarding his decision on the non-standard glass wall elevator that has been ordered?
4. How should he deal with the challenges he is facing over transfer prices and limited technical cooperation from the European plants?
5. What are the key take-aways from this case?