MAN336: Organizational Behavior
Course Syllabus
Spring 2014
McCombs School of Business

Professor Y. Sekou Bermiss
Office: CBA 4.228
Phone: (512) 232-5005
E-mail: ysb@austin.utexas.edu (Preferred contact method)
Office Hours: M/W 2:00-3:30 pm or contact via e-mail to schedule an appointment
Mailbox: Faculty mailbox outside CBA 4.202

Course Description
This is an advanced survey course in organizational behavior designed to give you exposure to essential theories and concepts for analyzing, understanding, and managing human behavior in organizations. In this course we will tie concrete organizational situations (as reflected in cases) to essential theories and effective management practices. In this course, we will investigate:

- Individual behavior in organizations, including personality, decision-making, personal networks, and ethics
- Interpersonal behavior, including teamwork, conflict, leadership, and power and influence
- Organizational factors affecting behavior, including reward systems, culture, and organizational design

Although this course covers many topics listed above, we will take a decidedly “teams-oriented” approach. Virtually all employees must work within a team context and learn how to manage their own performance and careers by working with and through others. In this class, working with teams will let you practice and evaluate your effectiveness at problem solving, managing, and working with others towards the specific goals outlined in the course.

Specific Course Objectives
My goals for this course are to help you:

- Manage individuals for high performance by developing your understanding of individual and interpersonal behavior, including your own
- Manage groups for high performance by exposing you to theoretical and practical knowledge of group dynamics and effective team building
- Understand the challenges of leading during change
- Apply critical reasoning techniques to analyze organizational structure and functions, to capitalize on organizational competencies and to address weaknesses
Ethics and Leadership Flag
This course carries the Ethics and Leadership flag. Ethics and Leadership courses are designed to equip you with skills that are necessary for making ethical decisions in your adult and professional life. You should therefore expect a significant portion of your grade to come from assignments involving ethical issues and the process of applying ethical reasoning to real-life situations.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND WEIGHTING

Class Participation and Attendance 15%
Two In-Class Exams (15% each) 30%
Ethics Reflection Paper 15%
Leadership Development Exercise 10%
Team Research Project 30%

Grading is based strictly on mastery of course material. Letter grades will be assigned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90 – 92.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>86.67 – 89.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83.33 – 86.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80 – 83.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>76.67 – 79.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73.33 – 76.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70 – 73.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>66.67 – 69.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>63.33 – 66.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>60 – 63.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Below 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADING GUIDELINES: After many requests by faculty and students, the Undergraduate Program Committee has adopted recommended guidelines for grading in undergraduate (BBA and Business Foundations) courses. The full policy is listed on Canvas. The recommended average GPA in this course is: 3.0 - 3.2

Your assignments consist of five individual tasks (class participation, two exams, an ethics reflection paper and a leadership development exercise), and one group task (team project).
**Class Contribution and Attendance (15%).** In a discussion-based class it is your responsibility to be thoroughly prepared to discuss each case. A critical component of the course is spirited, informed discussion. I assign each student a class contribution score after every class session. Contribution scores for each class session range from 0 for not showing up and/or not contributing to class in any demonstrable manner (i.e. not paying attention, being unprepared, sleeping, etc.) to 3 points for providing several high quality contributions in class.

Some of the characteristics of comments in class that I consider to be of high contribution are below:

a) Builds on or challenges the previous comments of your peers.

b) Integrates previously unconnected class concepts.

c) Provides a unique and non-obvious insight into the course material.

d) Poses a critical question to the class.

I average each student’s scores for the entire semester and standardized the scores based on the mean and standard deviation of the class to fit the grades into a normally distributed curve. Below is the guideline that I will use to assign final grades based on the standardized contribution scores.

- < 1.5 standard deviation (SD) below class mean = 70
- between 1.5 and 1 SD below class mean = 75
- between 1 and .5 SD below class mean = 80
- between .5 below and .5 SD above class mean = 85
- between .5 and 1 SD above class mean = 90
- between 1 and 1.5 SD above class mean = 95
- > 1.5 SD above class mean = 100

Many people are intimidated by the “obligation” of speaking up in class. Don’t be. Your anxiety will be reduced only through practice. Here’s the secret to cutting your stress level: **BE PREPARED.** If you have familiarized yourself with the case and readings, you will succeed if you speak up. Please keep in mind that the only measurable output of your contribution is your class discussion – but airtime is a scarce resource, so please use it wisely. Comments based solely on “gut reaction” generally do not add value and will be treated the same as not participating. Frequent non-quality contributions are not rewarded and in some cases may be penalized.

**Most days have additional text and supplemental readings to accompany the case or exercise. Excellent contribution incorporates the lessons from these readings into the case or exercise. Additional readings will normally not be reviewed separately – you are assumed to have read and understood them.**

Since this is a discussion-based course, I consider attendance in every class to be very important. Name cards must be consistently displayed during class, as this is how I track attendance and participation. Multiple unexcused absences will result in a reduction of your grade. If you do miss a class, it is your responsibility to find out from your classmates what material was covered and what handouts you may have missed.
**In-Class Exams (15% each, 30% total).** There will be two in-class exams. The exams will consist of multiple choice, true/false, and short-answer questions, as well as a case analysis that asks you to apply course concepts to a situation. The exam will cover material from lectures and assigned readings. The **first exam on February 19th** will cover material up through and including the 10th class. The **second exam on April 30th** will cover material from the 11th class through the end of the course.

**Ethics Reflection Paper (15%).** Within this class we will cover the role of ethics within organizational decision making. While ethics is grounded in abstract philosophical concepts it is at the same time very important for practical use. For this assignment you are tasked with selecting one ethical framework that we have covered in class (or one that you have researched outside of class) that you believe is best suited for your philosophical beliefs. Your paper should explain (1) why you believe this framework is optimal for you, and (2) why the other ethical frameworks covered in class are not optimal for you. Please use real or imagined examples to support your arguments. For more information about the assignment, please refer to the grading rubric in the Appendix (B) of the syllabus.

An electronic copy of this assignment should be uploaded to Canvas **by 12pm on Friday February 14th.** The reflection paper must be typed, double-spaced, with 1-inch margins and 12-point type. Papers are limited to 5 pages in length.

**Leadership Development Exercise (10%).** A key part of the learning process in this course is to take the lessons and apply them to real business situations. To facilitate this process and allow you to test your skill in applying the material, you will be assigned a Leadership Development Exercise (LDE), which challenges you to use the course concepts to analyze the events in the Webster Industries business case (included in your course packet).

This assignment should be uploaded to Canvas **by 12pm on Friday March 28th.** The LDE must be typed, double-spaced, with 1-inch margins and 12-point type. Papers are limited to 3 pages in length.
**Team Research Project (30%).** You will join a team for the team research project. Please choose a team of 4-5 people. Teams cannot be smaller than 3 people. Teams of six are occasionally allowed with my approval, but are graded at a slightly higher standard than the other groups.

**Purpose.** This project is the largest collaborative learning activity for the course. Class members will work in their teams to research and analyze a real organization (public, private, or non-profit). Please note that student-run groups on campus are not permitted for this project. You may select an organization in which one or more of the team members has worked or been a member. I advise you to be selective in choosing an issue or problem within an organization, as a lack of depth in the issue itself will not be an acceptable excuse for lack of depth in the analysis.

The project requires you to examine a problem or issue of concern in a real organization. The purpose of the project is to give your team an opportunity to apply what has been learned in the course as well, as your own independent research, to problems in an organization of your team's choice.

Your team will focus on an issue or problem that the organization is facing and is relevant to the content of this course. It will likely take several conversations with your key contact person at the organization to identify a problem or issue that can be clearly defined, is manageable in scope, and is relevant to the course. I advise you to be selective in choosing an issue or problem to study, as a lack of depth in the issue itself will not be an acceptable excuse for lack of depth in the analysis.

Irrespective of what option you choose, your team is to gather information from people in an organization through direct contact. You may supplement this information with data from the media, the organization's literature, and other secondary sources. **You should identify a relatively recent problem to analyze (i.e., this should not be an historical account of a problem and the company's solution). You should focus your analysis by applying the concepts from the course.** While it is acceptable to incorporate several concepts from the course, please aim for depth rather than breadth regarding the use of course concepts.

To meet these broad goals, your team should answer the following questions in the assignments detailed below.

a) What are the issues or problems facing the organization?
b) What course theoretical concepts can be applied to understand why this problem is occurring?
c) What recommendations can you offer to help improve organizational functioning?
Research Project Deliverables:

1. **The group membership list e-mail is due on January 29th at 5 pm.** This should be emailed to me and include the names of your group members as well as a team name.

2. **The project proposal e-mail is due on February 28th at 12 noon.** One person from your team should email me the following information:
   a) your team name
   b) the names of your group members
   c) the name of the organization you have selected for your project
   d) your primary contact person and their position within the organization
   e) the methods you will use to gather data (e.g. participant observation, interviews, archival, etc.)
   f) a brief description (one paragraph) of the potential problem(s) facing the organization that you will be focusing on.

3. **The one page (1” margins, 12 point font, double spaced) executive summary is due on April 9th before class.** An electronic copy of this summary should be posted to Canvas before the beginning of class. Please include:
   a) The names of your group members
   b) your team name
   c) a brief summary of the problem
   d) a brief summary of your analysis and findings

4. **The oral presentations will be in class on April 21st and April 23rd.** I will provide information later in the course on presentation criteria. Presentations will be arranged by random draw and provided in class on April 16th. Your team should assume you are presenting to your focal organization’s newly appointed board of directors (i.e. board members who do not work for the organization) who have only surface knowledge of the organization’s internal operations. You should feel free to distribute any printed material that will assist with the presentation.

**Group questions during other team presentation:** Each group will be assigned to play the role of a board member. They will be tasked with evaluating another group’s presentation. The presenting group’s grade will not be affected by the evaluation conducted by the other group; the evaluation is for feedback purposes only. Giving feedback will be a large part of your job after graduating.

**Grading:**

*Your oral presentation will be evaluated on the following criteria:*

a) Objectives of presentation: Includes a concise statement of purpose of the presentation; Outlines the strategic recommendations that will be made based on the analysis

b) Analysis:
   a. The general environment of the case is summarized highlighting less obvious observations
   b. Arguments are well-supported with specific evidence
c. Concepts from class are used appropriately to sharpen the analysis
d. The analysis adequately covers the key organizational issues relevant to our level of analysis (e.g., individual, group or organizational focus)

c) Recommendations
   a. Each recommendation flows logically from arguments presented in the analysis section
   b. Analysis includes a discussion of benefits and barriers to alternative recommendations
   c. Notes final recommendations with specific action steps

d) Overall Style
   a. The presentation utilizes proper punctuation, grammar, & spelling
   b. The presentation is polished. Transitions are smooth and presentation flows logically

5. **Your written research paper is due May 2nd at 5 pm.** An electronic copy of your final research paper should be uploaded to Canvas by 5pm. The maximum length of the report is 15 double spaced pages (1 inch margins, 12 point font). You will be penalized significantly for exceeding this limit. The limit does not include appendices, which you are free to use to provide charts, figures, or other background material not necessary in the main body of your analysis. However, appendices that are not directly referenced in the main text will not be read.

The research paper should consist of the following components:

- A brief overview of the organization
- An outline of the problems facing the organization
- A description of your data sources and data collection methods
- A summary of the results of your analysis
- Conclusions that you arrive at based on your analysis
- Proposed solutions, based on theories covered in class, that you would recommend

**Grading:**
**Your research paper project will be evaluated on the following criteria:**

a) How thoroughly and concisely do you describe the organizational context, the relevant parties, and the factors that are important to the problem?
b) Quality and rigor of your data collection process and analysis.
c) Demonstration of knowledge and use of course concepts.
d) Integration of course concepts with information about the company and problem, i.e., how well do you integrate theory with practice to illuminate the problem in a way that leads to solutions?
e) Extent to which recommendations are consistent with analysis.
f) Quality of writing and logical flow of the paper.

**LATE PROJECT PAPERS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED!**
6. **Group Structure and Rewards**: At the end of the semester each group member will provide me with a confidential evaluation of the other members of the group – participation in team events, sharing of workload, quality of work, and contribution to team process (e.g., constructive handling of conflict, good communication skills).

**Your individual grade on the team project will be based on the following weightings:**

- Oral Presentation 30%
- Written Research Paper 65%
- Teammate Evaluation 5%

**General Words about Teamwork**

The issue of equity is a concern that some students have about working in teams and about team grades. If you work harder and do better work than your peers, why should your grade be dependent on them? This view is generally a function of coming from educational environments that only ask for and measure individual performance. Your output for many of the assignments in this school will be a team product, such as a team case presentation. Team tasks should be given team rewards. This means that you not only must make a direct contribution to the development of the in-class presentation, but that you also have an obligation to make your team work effectively.

An infrequent problem associated with group projects is a team member who does not do his/her share of the job. You are urged not to let problems develop to the point where they become serious. Beware of excuses like: “I am too busy with urgent work - health - social - problems right now but I’ll make it up later.” It is surprising how many people who have one problem have a series of other problems. Be reasonable, but don’t be a doormat. Everyone in this class is expected to carry an equal share of the teamwork load.

I will not supervise the process any more closely than would most managers in similar circumstances. Rather, you are expected to get the work done and to manage each other. You are on your honor that you will do your fair share. Teams often ignore problems wishing that they would go away. More often they don’t; they only get worse. Try to solve the problem among yourselves. If you can’t, bring it to me. If I am convinced that someone has not carried his/her fair share - for any reason - I will reduce that person’s grade as low as to 0 if I believe it is warranted.
Administrative Policies

Policy on McCombs Classroom Professionalism. The highest professional standards are expected of all members of the McCombs community. The collective class reputation and the value of the undergraduate program experience hinges on this. Faculty are expected to be professional and prepared to deliver value for each and every class session. Students are expected to be professional in all respects. The classroom experience is enhanced when:

- **Students arrive on time.** On time arrival ensures that classes are able to start and finish at the scheduled time. On time arrival shows respect for both fellow students and faculty and it enhances learning by reducing avoidable distractions.

- **Students display their name cards.** This permits fellow students and faculty to learn names, enhancing opportunities for community building and evaluation of in-class contributions.

- **Students minimize unscheduled personal breaks.** The learning environment improves when disruptions are limited.

- **Students are fully prepared for each class.** Much of the learning in the undergraduate program takes place during classroom discussions. When students are not prepared they cannot contribute to the overall learning process. This affects not only the individual, but their peers who count on them, as well.

- **Students respect the views and opinions of their colleagues.** Disagreement and debate are encouraged. Intolerance for the views of others is unacceptable.

- **Laptops are closed and put away.** When students are surfing the web, responding to e-mail, instant messaging each other, and otherwise not devoting their full attention to the topic at hand they are doing themselves and their peers a major disservice. Those around them face additional distraction. Fellow students cannot benefit from the insights of the students who are not engaged. Faculty office hours are spent going over class material with students who chose not to pay attention, rather than truly adding value by helping students who want a better understanding of the material or want to explore the issues in more depth. Students with real needs may not be able to obtain adequate help if faculty time is spent repeating what was said in class.

- **Phones and wireless devices are turned off.** We’ve all heard the annoying ringing in the middle of a meeting. Not only is it not professional, it cuts off the flow of discussion when the search for the offender begins. When a true need to communicate with someone outside of class exists (e.g., for some medical need) please inform the professor prior to class.

Remember, you are competing for the best faculty McCombs has to offer. Your professionalism and activity in class contributes to your success in attracting the best faculty to this program.

Policy Regarding Re-reads of all graded coursework: In the event that you feel something was missed in the grading of your work (be it mathematical error or other), please write a brief summary of what you feel needs further attention and submit this re-read request with your original work with my comments within 1 week of receiving your grade. If you request a re-read, I will read the work again from scratch and your grade may go up or down. Each grade component is considered final one week after given to the class and will no longer be open for re-reading or discussion. I do not accept personal lobbying efforts on behalf of grades other than in writing.
Policy on Academic Accommodations: Upon request, the University of Texas at Austin provides appropriate academic accommodations for qualified students with disabilities. Services for Students with Disabilities (SSD) is housed in the Office of the Dean of Students, located on the fourth floor of the Student Services Building. Information on how to register, downloadable forms, including guidelines for documentation, accommodation request letters, and releases of information are available online at http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/ssd/index.php. Please do not hesitate to contact SSD at (512) 471-6259, VP: (512) 232-2937 or via e-mail if you have any questions.

Policy on Canvas: Password-protected class sites will be available for all accredited courses taught at The University. Syllabi, handouts, assignments and other resources are types of information that may be available within these sites. Site activities could include exchanging e-mail, engaging in class discussions and chats, and exchanging files. In addition, class e-mail rosters will be a component of the sites. Students who do not want their names included in these electronic class rosters must restrict their directory information in the Office of the Registrar, Main Building, Room 1. For information on restricting directory information see: http://www.utexas.edu/student/registrar/catalogs/gi02-03/app/appc09.html.

Policy on Academic Integrity: The details of the BBA Code of Ethics can be read fully at http://www.mccombs.utexas.edu/BBA/Code-of-Ethics. By teaching this course, I have agreed to observe all of the faculty responsibilities described in that policy. By enrolling in this class, you have agreed to observe all of the student responsibilities described in that policy. If the application of that Policy Statement to this class and its assignments is unclear in any way, it is your responsibility to ask me for clarification.

Policy on Scholastic Dishonesty: Students who violate University rules on scholastic dishonesty are subject to disciplinary penalties, including the possibility of failure in the course and/or dismissal from the University. Since dishonesty harms the individual, all students, and the integrity of the University, policies on scholastic dishonesty will be strictly enforced. You should refer to the Student Judicial Services website at http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/ or the General Information Catalog to access the official University policies and procedures on scholastic dishonesty as well as further elaboration on what constitutes scholastic dishonesty.

Honor Code Purpose: Academic honor, trust and integrity are fundamental to The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business community. They contribute directly to the quality of your education and reach far beyond the campus to your overall standing within the business community. The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business Honor System promotes academic honor, trust and integrity throughout the Graduate School of Business. The Honor System relies upon The University of Texas Student Standards of Conduct (Chapter 11 of the Institutional Rules on Student Service and Activities) for enforcement, but promotes ideals that are higher than merely enforceable standards. Every student is responsible for understanding and abiding by the provisions of the Honor System and the University of Texas Student Standards of Conduct. The University expects all students to obey the law, show respect for other members of the university community, perform contractual obligations, maintain absolute integrity and the highest standard of individual honor in scholastic work, and observe the highest standards of conduct. Ignorance of the Honor System or The University of
Texas Student Standards of Conduct is not an acceptable excuse for violations under any circumstances.

The effectiveness of the Honor System results solely from the wholehearted and uncompromising support of each member of the Graduate School of Business community. Each member must abide by the Honor System and must be intolerant of any violations. The system is only as effective as you make it.

**Faculty Involvement in the Honor System:** The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business Faculty's commitment to the Honor System is critical to its success. It is imperative that faculty make their expectations clear to all students. They must also respond to accusations of cheating or other misconduct by students in a timely, discrete and fair manner. We urge faculty members to promote awareness of the importance of integrity through in-class discussions and assignments throughout the semester.

**Definition of Scholastic Dishonesty**

The official policies of the university are outlined in Appendix C, Chapter 11 of the Institutional Rules on Student Services and Activities. Appendix C may be found in the General Information Catalog and may also be accessed from the Student Judicial Services website. This appendix elaborates on the policies and provides some examples that are relevant to courses and assignments in business classes.

The General Information Catalog says that "scholastic dishonesty" includes, but is not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, collusion, falsifying scholastic records, and any act designed to give unfair advantage to the student, or the attempt to commit such an act. Expectations Under the Honor System

**Standards:** If a student is uncertain about the standards of conduct in a particular setting, he or she should ask the relevant faculty member for clarification to ensure his or her conduct falls within the expected scope of honor, trust and integrity as promoted by the Honor System. This applies to all tests, papers and group and individual work. Questions about appropriate behavior during the job search should be addressed to a professional member of the Career Services Office. Below are some of the specific examples of violations of the Honor System.

**Lying:** Lying is any deliberate attempt to deceive another by stating an untruth, or by any direct form of communication to include the telling of a partial truth. Lying includes the use or omission of any information with the intent to deceive or mislead. Examples of lying include, but are not limited to, providing a false excuse for why a test was missed or presenting false information to a recruiter.

**Stealing:** Stealing is wrongfully taking, obtaining, withholding, defacing or destroying any person's money, personal property, article or service, under any circumstances. Examples of stealing include, but are not limited to, removing course material from the library or hiding it from others, removing material from another person's mail folder, securing for one's self unattended items such as calculators, books, book bags or other personal property. Another form of stealing is the duplication of copyrighted material beyond the reasonable bounds of "fair use."
Defacing (e.g., "marking up" or highlighting) library books is also considered stealing, because, through a willful act, the value of another's property is decreased. (See the appendix for a detailed explanation of "fair use.")

**Cheating:** Cheating is wrongfully and unfairly acting out of self-interest for personal gain by seeking or accepting an unauthorized advantage over one's peers. Examples include, but are not limited to, obtaining questions or answers to tests or quizzes, and getting assistance on case write-ups or other projects beyond what is authorized by the assigning instructor. It is also cheating to accept the benefit(s) of another person's theft(s) even if not actively sought. For instance, if one continues to be attentive to an overhead conversation about a test or case write-up even if initial exposure to such information was accidental and beyond the control of the student in question, one is also cheating. If a student overhears a conversation or any information that any faculty member might reasonably wish to withhold from the student, the student should inform the faculty member(s) of the information and circumstance under which it was overheard.

**Actions Required for Responding to Suspected and Known Violations:** As stated, everyone must abide by the Honor System and be intolerant of violations. If you suspect a violation has occurred, you should first speak to the suspected violator in an attempt to determine if an infraction has taken place. If, after doing so, you still believe that a violation has occurred, you must tell the suspected violator that he or she must report himself or herself to the course professor or Associate Dean of the Graduate School of Business. If the individual fails to report himself or herself within 48 hours, it then becomes your obligation to report the infraction to the course professor or the Associate Dean of the Graduate School of Business. Remember that although you are not required by regulation to take any action, our Honor System is only as effective as you make it. If you remain silent when you suspect or know of a violation, you are approving of such dishonorable conduct as the community standard. You are thereby precipitating a repetition of such violations.

**The Honor Pledge:** The University of Texas at Austin McCombs School of Business requires each enrolled student to adopt the Honor System. The Honor Pledge best describes the conduct promoted by the Honor System. It is as follows:

"I affirm that I belong to the honorable community of The University of Texas at Austin Graduate School of Business. I will not lie, cheat or steal, nor will I tolerate those who do."

"I pledge my full support to the Honor System. I agree to be bound at all times by the Honor System and understand that any violation may result in my dismissal from the Graduate School of Business."
Required Reading


The textbook must be ordered online. You can purchase access cards for online access to the textbook at the University Co-op. Alternatively, you can purchase the book directly from the Flat World Knowledge website. The cheapest option (Study Pass) allows you read and annotate the entire textbook online for half the cost of a book purchase. You can also order the eBook version (Digital Pass), or the hardcopy textbook (Black and White or Color). The website is: [https://students.flatworldknowledge.com/course/1497143](https://students.flatworldknowledge.com/course/1497143)

Course Packet (CP): MAN336H course packet is available at the University Co-op.

** I reserve the right to make changes to the readings and/or topics as needed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Assigned Reading Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td>What Is Organizational Behavior?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #1</td>
<td>Mon, Jan 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required: <em>OB</em> Chapter 1: Organizational Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #2</td>
<td>Wed, Jan 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required: <em>OB</em> Chapter 2: Individual Differences and Perceptions, Guidelines for case analysis MAN 336 (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 2</strong></td>
<td>Individual Differences and Intro to Motivation &amp; Rewards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #3</td>
<td>Wed, Jan 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required: <em>OB</em> Chapter 3: Individual Attitudes and Behaviors, Wolfgang Keller (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td>Motivation &amp; Rewards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #4</td>
<td>Mon, Jan 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required: <em>OB</em> Chapter 4: Theories of Motivation, Lincoln Electric (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #5</td>
<td>Wed, Jan 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required: <em>OB</em> Chapter 5: Designing a Motivating Work Environment, On the Folly of Rewarding for “A” While Hoping for “B” (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due: Team membership email (before class)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 4</strong></td>
<td>Motivation &amp; Decision Making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #6</td>
<td>Mon, Feb 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required: How to Kill Creativity (CP), Six Dangerous Myths About Pay (CP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Class #7  Wed, Feb 5  Required:  

*OB* Chapter 6: Making Decisions
Biases and Blunders (CP)

---

### Week 5  Ethics

**Class #8**  
Mon, Feb 10  Required:  

Doing the Right Thing (CP)
The Case of the MBA Hackers (CP)

**Class #9**  
Wed, Feb 12  Required:  

Values in Tension: Ethics Away From Home (CP)
Vanatin Case (distributed in class)

**Due:** Ethics Reflection Paper *(Friday Feb 14th, 12 noon)*

---

### Week 6  Conflict & Exam

**Class #10**  
Mon, Feb 17  Required:  

*OB* Chapter 7: Communication
*OB* Chapter 8: Conflict in Organizations
How management teams can have a good fight (CP)

**Week 6**  
Wed, Feb 19  EXAM #1

---

### Week 7  Managing Teams & Team Project Preparation

**Class #11**  
Mon, Feb 24  Required:  

*OB* Chapter 9: Managing Teams and Groups - Sect 1-4
*Murder Mystery Role Distributed in Class*

**Class #12**  
Wed, Feb 26  Team Project Day - Qualitative Research Methods (Attendance required)

**Due:** Description of Final Team Project *(Friday Feb 28th, 12 noon)*

---

### Week 8  Managing Teams & Team Project Preparation

**Class #13**  
Mon, Mar 3  Team Project Day (Class attendance not required)

**Class #14**  
Wed, Mar 5  Required:  

*OB* Chapter 9: Managing Teams and Groups - Sect 5-7
Trip Wires in Designing and Leading Work Groups (CP)

---

### Week 9  SPRING BREAK

Mon, Mar 10  No Class
Wed, Mar 12  No Class

---

### Week 10  Power, Persuasion, and Influence (Part One)

**Class #15**  
Mon, Mar 17  Required:  

*OB* Chapter 10: Power and Politics – Sects 1, 2, 5, 6
The Necessary Art of Persuasion (CP)

**Class #16**  
Required:  

*OB* Chapter 10: Power and Politics – Sects 3 & 4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 11</th>
<th>Power, Persuasion, and Influence (Part Deux)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class #17</td>
<td>Required: Influence without authority (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, Mar 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #18</td>
<td>No Assigned Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed, Mar 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Due:</strong></td>
<td><strong>LDE (Friday March 28th at 12 noon)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 12</th>
<th>Leadership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class #19</td>
<td>Required: OB Chapter 11: Leading People Within Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, Mar 31</td>
<td>Leadership that gets results (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #20</td>
<td>No Assigned Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed, Apr 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 13</th>
<th>Organizational Culture and Socialization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class #21</td>
<td>Required: OB Chapter 12: Organizational Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, Apr 7</td>
<td>How Leaders Begin Culture Creation (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #22</td>
<td>Required: The Smile Factory: Work at Disneyland (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed, Apr 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Due:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Team Project - 1 page Executive Summary (before class)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 14</th>
<th>Organizational Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class #23</td>
<td>Required: OB Chapter 13: Organizational Structure and Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, Apr 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #24</td>
<td>Required: Robert F. Kennedy High School (CP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed, Apr 16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 15</th>
<th>Team Presentations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class #25</td>
<td><strong>Due:</strong> Team Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, Apr 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class #26</td>
<td><strong>Due:</strong> Team Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed, Apr 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 16</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class #27</td>
<td>Wrap-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon, Apr 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed, Apr 30</td>
<td>EXAM #2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix (A). Supplemental Topical Readings

Occasionally students will ask me for supplemental sources that provide more information about different aspects of organizational behavior that we cover in the course. Below are some references that provide some insight to specific topics. Utilizing these sources will greatly improve your understanding of the topics we cover in class and will almost certainly improve the quality of your team project.

Organizational Design

1. "Organizational design: a step-by-step approach" by Burton, DeSanctis, & Obel

Ebook is available through the Library: [http://catalog.lib.utexas.edu/record=b7926180~S29](http://catalog.lib.utexas.edu/record=b7926180~S29)
Hardcopy available at UT Library: [http://catalog.lib.utexas.edu/record=b6084476~S29](http://catalog.lib.utexas.edu/record=b6084476~S29)

2. "Designing organizations: an executive briefing on strategy, structure, and process" by Jay R. Galbraith.

Hardcopy available at UT Library: [http://catalog.lib.utexas.edu/record=b4084417~S29](http://catalog.lib.utexas.edu/record=b4084417~S29)
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Appendix (B). Grading Rubric for Ethics Reflection Paper

Below is the grading rubric for the Ethics paper. The weightings are approximate and the actual grades will not be broken down by component. This rubric serves to provide an accurate view of how important each component of the paper is in regards to grading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Approximate Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thesis statement</td>
<td>Provides a clear thesis statement. This statement should come very early in the paper, and should summarize the primary arguments of your paper.</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanation of optimal framework</td>
<td>Defines the ethical framework that the student believes is most optimal for governing their own behavior and explains why it is optimal for them.</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explanation of non-optimal frameworks</td>
<td>Defines the ethical frameworks not chosen as optimal and through comparative analysis explains why they are not optimal for them.</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of examples</td>
<td>Provides examples to support the claims in their arguments.</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammar and style</td>
<td>Paper is well written and clear. No grammatical or typographical errors. Writing style is academic and all sources are cited.</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>